BU4053 Corporate Social Responsibility

Table of Contents

Question 1: Discuss the challenges of implementing CSR in small businesses.

Answer: There is a certain set of difficulties that must be taken into account and planned for when establishing CSR in a small firm. Unlike major organisations, which can afford to staff whole departments solely devoted to corporate social responsibility (CSR) and have higher levels of flexibility, smaller companies have fewer assets and fewer options. In spite of these challenges, small firms cannot afford to ignore CSR if they want to promote long-term viability, ethical procedures, and constructive community effects. Here, explore the difficulties small firms face when trying to adopt CSR (Kim, 2022).

Initially, small firms who want to participate in CSR efforts face major challenges due to budgetary limitations. It might be difficult for small enterprises to support CSR initiatives, in contrast to bigger organisations with higher resources. Finding a middle ground between maintaining profitable operations and acting in an environmentally conscious way is difficult (Chidukwani et al., 2022). This typically demands imaginative solutions, which include developing connections with local organisations, using volunteer activities, or researching cost-effective projects that line with the company's beliefs.

The absence of specialised employees and knowledge in CSR-related problems presents another difficulty, especially for smaller companies. Smaller companies may not have the personnel available to oversee and execute extensive CSR plans like bigger corporations. It is a fine balancing act to incorporate CSR with the current organisational structure without overburdening existing workers. Small firms may need to engage in staff training or acquire external help to obtain the essential knowledge and guarantee successful execution of CSR projects.

Small firms have difficulties in both being seen and having an effect due to their size. While huge organisations may launch massive CSR efforts that get considerable media coverage, smaller companies may find it difficult to attain similar levels of exposure. An strategy that prioritises activities that benefit the area's residents and are proportional to the company's size and scope is necessary to overcome this obstacle. Small companies may make a bigger impact and build a stronger standing in their local community if they focus their energies on a certain location or demographic (Camilleri and Bresciani, 2022).

A further worry for small firms engaging in CSR is the need to comply with applicable regulations. Particularly for organisations with limited legal capital, negotiating the complicated maze of CSR legislation and guidelines may be daunting. To prevent problems, it is important to pay close attention to local regulations and global norms, and following ethical practises may need legal counsel.

Small firms may find it difficult to properly express their CSR activities to clients because of the need of honesty and openness in carrying out CSR. This might make it harder to gain respect and credibility among locals. It is essential for businesses to develop open liaison strategies that include their CSR efforts, describe the measures made, and display the results. Small firms may increase the reach of their CSR messaging and increase stakeholder engagement by using a variety of contact methods, that include the internet, local periodicals, and community festivals (Chidukwani et al., 2022).

Small firms may also confront opposition to change within its own ranks. People who are used to standard business practises may be reluctant to accept CSR activities, considering them as a diversion from the fundamental goal of profitability. Clear internal interaction, education on the advantages of CSR, and demonstration of how socially conscious practises may line with and improve overall corporate objectives are essential for overcoming this reluctance.

To sum up, the obstacles to CSR implementation in small enterprises are significant, but not intractable. Small firms need carefully considered strategies designed for their unique circumstances in order to overcome financial limitations, establish expertise, maximise local impact, navigate legislation, communicate effectively, and manage internal opposition. Small companies may make significant contributions to social well-being, strengthen their links to local communities, and lay the groundwork for long-term success by taking on these issues head-on (Camilleri and Bresciani, 2022).

Question 2: Answer the following short questions (with simple examples):

(a) Businesspersons advocate for self-regulation. Why?

Answer: Self-control is popular among businesses because it eliminates the need for government to impose uniform regulations across all sectors. This lobbying is based on the assumption that industry employees, as opposed to outside regulators, have a more complete picture of the business's inner workings, goods, and hazards. Self-regulation encourages firms to take responsibility by allowing them to voluntarily establish norms of conduct and internal checks and balances (Landstad et al., 2022).

For instance in the economy, industry bodies may adopt codes of ethics that specify accepted business practises. Reasonable lending, honest accounting, and treating consumers fairly are among topics that may be addressed under such regulations. Businesses want to show their dedication to doing the right thing by the world by following certain guidelines they set for themselves.

Proponents of self-regulation stress the advantages of more leeway in dealing with industry-specific issues (Martinez and Juricic, 2022). Entrepreneurs often argue that businesses are in better shape than government agencies to respond rapidly to new developments in technology and consumer tastes. Contrary to this, government laws may be regarded as sluggish to adapt, thus limiting creativity and response to quickly changing market conditions.

In addition, many people saw autonomy as a way to head off more strict government action. It is possible for firms to lessen the possibility of being subject to rules and regulations enforced by external bodies if they demonstrate an active support for ethical principles along with ethical operations. The industry's standing, customer confidence, and overall image may all benefit from this kind of proactive strategy.

Self-regulation is supported by businesspeople because it empowers sectors to define their own standards of conduct. It demonstrates confidence in the ability of companies to self-regulate, therefore fostering an environment where there is a commitment to both meeting and exceeding social standards, as well as a culture of constant innovation and personal responsibility (Kannan et al., 2022).

(b) List some of the criticisms of government regulation.

Answer: One typical complaint levelled against government oversight is that it adds unnecessary layers of bureaucracy. Some people say that the regulatory process is too sluggish, too complicated, and too hampered by bureaucracy. There may be a delay in responding to new developments or shifting market circumstances because of the extensive bureaucratic processes.

The government has been accused of overregulating enterprises and placing an undue burden on them. Overregulation is blamed for impeding innovation and economic progress by posing an onerous set of regulations for firms to comply with, so inhibiting risk-taking and perhaps reducing their ability to compete (Rolf et al., 2022).

Lack of Industry Expertise: issue: Another issue is that federal authorities may lacking the sector-specific expertise essential to formulate successful and nuanced laws. This might result in the development of blanket regulations that don't take into account the specific difficulties and movements of certain industries.

Rules have the potential to have unforeseen repercussions that harm enterprises, which has prompted criticism. For instance, firms that must reduce costs in order to follow rigorous environmental rules may be compelled to lay off workers, negating any gains from the regulations.

The expense of following the rules set by the government has been called into question. These compliance expenses, critics say, may be prohibitive, especially for smaller enterprises (Beck and Watterson, 2022). These costs may take money that might be used for growth, innovation, or employment opportunities.

One common criticism levelled against government rules is that they are too rigid, making it difficult for enterprises to respond to changing market conditions. Rigid rules may become obsolete as markets change and technology develops, hindering economic growth rather than fostering it.

Government laws are sometimes criticised for being too general and applying the same standards to all enterprises operating in the same industry. Those who disagree with this strategy say it might disadvantage startups and smaller firms by failing to take their differences into consideration.

Official regulatory systems are often criticised for being too sluggish to adapt to the fast-paced developments in the market. It's possible for fresh sectors or innovations to develop faster than regulators can keep up with them, limiting creativity and slowing the emergence of exciting new markets.

Regulatory gather, in which regulatory bodies are disproportionately affected by the industry they are designed to control, is a source of criticism due to the possibility of political sway and capture. Critics contend that this may lead to policies that prioritise the interests of entrenched players above the greater good (Beck and Watterson, 2022).

Another concern is that restrictions aren't always strictly enforced. Some worry that if enforcement isn't uniform across jurisdictions or industries, it might distort market by encouraging unequal levels of adherence.

Expansion, lack of expertise, unforeseen repercussions, compliance-related expenses, rigidity, and the risk of regulatory capture are some of the issues that have been raised in response to public regulation. While policies are necessary to defend the public passion, these arguments highlight the necessity for well-thought-out, receptive, and industry-specific rules and regulations.

(c) How does the trend toward privatization provide opportunities for business?

Answer: By putting formerly government-run sectors and services under private control, privatisation opens up new markets for investors. Firms and the market as a whole stand to gain from privatisation in a number of ways.

Possibility of Penetration and Growth in Existing Markets Privatisation brings up new markets and possibilities for entrepreneurs to enter or develop within sectors that have been before under control of the government (Popov, 2022).

The privatisation of a publicly held utility like a water supplier or electricity provider creates an opportunity for private corporations to enter or expand their presence in the utility market.

Efficiencies in providing services and monetary outcomes may benefit from private companies' efforts to streamline operations and save expenses.

For instance, privatising a publicly owned transit system may increase productivity and service delivery by adopting private sector practises including streamlining operations, improving management, and investing in technology.

Opportunity for Innovation and the Spread of Contemporary Technology Private businesses, motivated by the pursuit of profit, are more likely to embrace and invest in cutting-edge technology than their public sector counterparts (Murphy et al., 2022).

In the field of health care, for instance, privatising certain treatments may boost the use of cutting-edge medical technology and practises, which might enhance the quality of treatment provided to patients.

Opportunity for more firms to vie for contracts or possession, resulting to higher quality work at lower costs is a common benefit of privatisation.

The proliferation of private delivery companies is one potential outcome of postal service privatisation, increasing customer choice by offering them several providers at varying price points.

Opportunity for Private Sector Job Expansion and Economic Expansion Privatisation presents an opportunity for private sector job growth and growing the economy via the expansion and investment of existing enterprises and the establishment of new businesses.

Privatising highway building and upkeep projects, for instance, may increase employment in the construction industry and fuel growth in other fields.

The government is able to take use of the private sector's experience in complicated or specialised sectors thanks to privatisation.

Example: If a government chooses to privatise the administration of a public location, a private corporation with experience in managing airports may provide specialised knowledge to boost total effectiveness and customer delivery.

Possibility of Risk Sharing Some risks, such as fiscal and operational unknowns, may be moved from the government's hands to the market via privatization (Murphy et al., 2022).

The cost of maintaining and improving public housing developments may be shared between government agencies and the private sector if their administration were privatised.

To finance large-scale projects and growth, private enterprises sometimes have easier access to financial markets than their publicly traded counterparts.

If a government sells off a telecom business, for instance, the private investor who buys it may be able to use the money to improve the network's architecture and implement new technologies.

In conclusion, the privatisation trend is beneficial for companies since it opens doors to new markets, more efficiency, new ideas, more competition, more jobs, lower costs, less risk, and easier access to finance. To avoid unintended effects like monopolistic power or poor service supply, it is important to find a balance between privatization's prospective benefits and drawbacks (Popov, 2022).

(d) Compare privatization to deregulation.

Answer: Athough privatisation and liberalisation are two separate ideas, they often overlap and may work together to shape certain businesses. If you want to know how privatisation and deregulation will affect your company and the economy, you need to know what sets them apart.

The term "privatisation" refers to the process by which state-owned goods, services, or businesses are sold or otherwise transferred to private firms. The idea is to let the free market, rivals, and entrepreneurs bring efficiency into formerly government-run industries. The term "privatisation" refers to a wide range of activities, from the sale of publicly-held assets to the outsourcing of government functions to for-profit corporations (Rolf et al., 2022).

Privatisation might include selling an owned by the government telecoms business to individuals. The private company now runs the network and provides all customer service and maintenance.

Conversely, deregulation is lessening or doing away with government limitations and rules on a particular business. The goal is to increase productivity, creativity, and competitiveness by lowering regulatory hurdles. Typically, deregulation lowers hurdles to entry and price limitations to increase competition in a market.

Regulation in the energy industry, for instance, might include loosening rules that prevent upstart businesses from joining the market, giving customers the freedom to choose their power supplier, and doing away with price regulations to foster competitive pricing (Beck and Watterson, 2022).

Change's Main Focus

The term "privatisation" refers to the process of transferring public assets to private hands.

Deregulation: The process of lowering or eliminating government oversight of a certain sector, regardless of who owns the companies operating within that industry.

When assets or businesses are transferred from public to private hands, this process is known as privatisation.

The goal of deregulation is to promote market effectiveness and rivalry by altering the existing regulatory structure (Popov, 2022).

Market Organisation

By reorganising who owns what, privatisation may unleash competition from private businesses in areas where none previously existed.

When markets are deregulated, entrance, price, and competition laws are altered without any required transfer of ownership.

Intervention by the State

When goods or services are privatised, the government has less of a hand in their operation.

The phrase "deregulation" refers to a decrease in regulatory burden imposed by the government but does not always result in a transfer of ownership.

Stress on the Game

Privatisation: Establishes competitors by ushering privately held ownership and leadership.

By eliminating constraints and regulations that stifle market forces, liberalisation boosts competitiveness.

Goals

The goal of privatisation is to improve productivity, creativity, and customer service by transferring them to private hands (Murphy et al., 2022).

The goal of deregulation is to enhance competition, bring down prices, and give consumers more options.

Despite their differences, privatisation and deregulation are typically used together to effect widespread market change. To promote competition amongst smaller airlines, government officials may take steps such as privatising a controlled airline and deregulating the aviation business. The complementary nature of these strategies may help create a market that is more active, profitable, and economic.

Question 3: What are the common characteristics of social enterprises?

Answer: Businesses having a social mission are called social enterprises, and they operate in a unique way. Social enterprises, the nature of which may vary greatly, are defined by and distinguished from for-profit firms by a number of shared features. These traits illustrate how social companies prioritise helping others while still ensuring their own financial viability.

Social Mission as Core Purpose

Definition: Businesses with a social or environmental objective at their core operate differently than for-profit businesses.

Example: A business with a social mission to reduce plastic waste may put a premium on creating and popularising eco-friendly packaging.

Sustainable Business Model

Definition: Businesses with a social mission sell products or provide services with the intention of making a profit.

Example: While continuing to rival in the sector, a fair-trade coffee firm pays its growers a living salary and guarantees a supply chain that is moral.

Balancing Social and Financial Objectives

Definition: Businesses with a social mission attempt to maximise both their social impact and their financial sustainability.

Example: A social company that hires people with disabilities strives to do two things well: provide them with fulfilling work and ensure the firm's long-term viability by selling goods and services.

Innovative Approaches

Definition: In order to solve social and environmental problems, social businesses often use novel approaches.

Example: For regions without access to grid-based electricity, a social business may leverage cutting-edge technology to provide a low-cost, long-term power supply.

Impact Measurement and Evaluation

Definition: The examination and assessment of a social enterprise's contribution to society and its surroundings is a top priority.

Example: Measures such as the total amount of learners served, the quality of education provided, and the level of participation from the local community are all possible indicators of success for a social business in the field of education.

Stakeholder Engagement

Definition: The general public, customers, workers, and investors are just some of the groups that social companies actively connect with to further their social goal.

Example: When working with local communities, a social business actively solicits resident feedback and participation in programme development and execution to increase the likelihood of long-term success.

Asset-Locking or Asset Redistribution

Definition: When it comes to advancing their social goal, some social companies lock up any revenues or assets so that they can't be used for anything else.

Example: Instead of paying out dividends to investors, a social company with a focus on affordable real estate may pledge to reinvest revenues in the construction of fresh supportive housing units.

Ethical and Transparent Practices

Definition: Businesses with a social mission place a premium on operating transparently and ethically.

Example: An ethical fashion brand that cares about its community may publish details of its supply chain, including where they get their materials and whether or not they use child labour.

Adaptability and Flexibility

Definition: Social businesses frequently display flexibility and versatility, altering their strategy and operations to maximise their social effects in adaptation to changing conditions.

Example: An organisation that provides disaster aid may shift its emphasis from meeting immediate needs to longer-term reconstruction as the crisis progresses.

Focus on Empowerment

Definition: One common goal of social businesses is to increase the agency of underserved groups and people.

Example: Women in underdeveloped nations may benefit from the services of a finance charitable organisation because they are given access to capital and business skills that would otherwise be unavailable to them.

In conclusion, social companies are distinguished by their dedication to solving social and environmental problems using environmentally friendly operations, fresh methods, and a comprehensive view of all relevant stakeholders. When compared to conventional businesses, social enterprises stand out due to the beneficial change they facilitate while being financially sustainable. These shared traits illustrate how unique and adaptable social companies are as they explore the nexus of business and environmental responsibility.

References

Beck, M. and Watterson, A., 2022. Privatization and multi-fatality disasters: a causal connection exposing both worker and citizen health and safety failures in the UK?. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *19*(20), p.13138.https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/19/20/13138

Camilleri, M.A. and Bresciani, S., 2022. Crowdfunding small businesses and startups: A systematic review, an appraisal of theoretical insights and future research directions. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, (ahead-of-print).https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/EJIM-02-2022-0060/full/html?skipTracking=true&utm_campaign=Emerald_Strategy_PPV_November22_RoN

Chidukwani, A., Zander, S. and Koutsakis, P., 2022. A survey on the cyber security of small-to-medium businesses: challenges, research focus and recommendations. *IEEE Access*, *10*, pp.85701-85719.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9853515/

Desiana, P.M., Ma'arif, M.S., Puspitawati, H., Rachmawati, R., Prijadi, R. and Najib, M., 2022. Strategy for sustainability of social enterprise in Indonesia: A structural equation modeling approach. *Sustainability*, *14*(3), p.1383.https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/14/3/1383

Harsanto, B., Mulyana, A., Faisal, Y.A. and Shandy, V.M., 2022. Open innovation for sustainability in the social enterprises: An empirical evidence. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *8*(3), p.160.https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2199853122007612

Kannan, D., Solanki, R., Kaul, A. and Jha, P.C., 2022. Barrier analysis for carbon regulatory environmental policies implementation in manufacturing supply chains to achieve zero carbon. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *358*, p.131910.https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652622015207

Kim, R.C., 2022. Rethinking corporate social responsibility under contemporary capitalism: Five ways to reinvent CSR. *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility*, *31*(2), pp.346-362.https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/beer.12414

Landstad, B.J., Vinberg, S., Rahme, A., Vigren, G. and Hagqvist, E., 2022. Management by values: A qualitative study of how small business owners in the cleaning sector view and implement their employer responsibilities with respect to occupational safety and health management. *Safety Science*, *148*, p.105649.https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0925753521004896

Martinez, V.R. and Juricic, C.J., 2022. Toward More Robust Self-Regulation within the Legal Profession. *Wash. UJL & Pol'y*, *69*, p.241.https://heinonline.org/hol-cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/wajlp69&section=10

Murphy, P.J., Kornetskyy, A. and Nixon, J.T., 2022. Delineating novel aspects of social enterprise theory. *Social Enterprise Journal*, *18*(2), pp.364-382.https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/SEJ-04-2021-0036/full/html

Popov, V., 2022. The holy trinity of transition: liberalization, privatization, and stabilization. *Qeios*.https://www.qeios.com/read/6JT55I

Rasyid, R., Karimi, S., Taifur, W.D. and Ridwan, E., 2023. Analyzing Indonesian SOEs Privatization: A Comparison between the SOEs' Performance and Privatization Determination. *Economies*, *11*(2), p.69.https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7099/11/2/69

Rolf, S., O'Reilly, J. and Meryon, M., 2022. Towards privatized social and employment protections in the platform economy? Evidence from the UK courier sector. *Research Policy*, *51*(5), p.104492.https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048733322000208